arXiv:hep-th/9906013v2 7 Jun 1999

hep-th/9906013

Super 0-brane and GS Superstring Actions on $AdS_2 \times S^2$

Jian-Ge Zhou*

Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

Abstract

The super 0-brane and GS superstring actions on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ background with 2-form flux are constructed by supercoset approach. We find the super 0-brane action contains two parameters which are interpreted as the electric and magnetic charges of the super 0-brane. The obtained super 0-brane action describes the BPS saturated dyonic superparticle moving on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ background. The WZ action contains the required coupling with 2-form flux. For GS superstring, we find the string action on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ takes the same form as those in $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times S^3$ and $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ with RR field background.

*e-mail address: jgzhou@het.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

1.Introduction

Recently, motivated by the AdS/CFT correspondence [1]-[3], there has arisen renewed interest to study D-brane and string dynamics in curved space. The type IIB Green-Schwarz (GS) superstring action was constructed in $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ background in terms of supercoset formalism [4]. This action possesses global $SU(2,2 \mid 4)$ super-invariance, has κ-symmetry and 2D reparametrization invariance as its local symmetries, and reduces to the conventional type IIB GS superstring action in the flat background limit. The other related construction for GS superstring, super D3-brane, D1-brane on $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S^5$, and super M-branes on $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ and $\mathrm{AdS}_7 \times S^4$ have been discussed in [5]-[10]. The gauge-fixing of κ-symmetry was carried out in two different approaches: the supersolvable algebra approach [11] and Killing gauge approach [12], and it was shown that the gauge-fixed actions obtained in the two approaches agree through appropriate rearrangement of fields [13].

Even the above studies have made much progress on the understanding to D-brane and string dynamics in $\mathrm{AdS}_{p+2} \times S^{D-p-2}$ background (where p is the dimensions of p-branes or strings, and D is the spacetime dimensions), the κ-symmetry gauge-fixing and quantization seem still to pose some difficulties [14, 15, 16], then it would be appropriate to study the D-branes and superstring propagation on some simple Ramond-Ramond (RR) backgrounds. One of the simple backgrounds is type IIB string on $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times S^3 \times M_4$ (M_4 can be chosen as K3 or T^4), which is the near-horizon geometry of type IIB D1-D5 brane configuration [1]. The GS superstring and D-brane actions on $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times S^3$ has been constructed in [17] and [18]. However, there is a much more simpler background $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2 \times M_6$ (where M_6 is a Calabi-Yau manifold or $K3 \times T^2$ or T^6), which can be obtained, for example, from the near-horizon geometry of $3 \perp 3 \perp 3 \perp 3$ configuration in type IIB string theory [1] . In D=4, N=2 supergravity, the $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ background is the Bertotti-Robinson (BR) solution [19] which is the near-horizon geometry of 3+1 dimensional Reissner-Nordström black hole. Therefore, it is quite interesting to see how p-branes and GS superstring propagate on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ background with 2-form flux.

On the other hand, it was shown in [20] that radial motion of a superparticle with zero angular momentum near the horizon of an extreme Reissner-Nordström black hole ($\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$) is described by an $Osp(1 \mid 2)$-invariant superconformal mechanics, and it was further argued in [20] that the full superparticle dynamics should be invariant under the larger $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ superconformal group because this is the superisometry group of $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$. This full dynamics describe not only the radial motion of the superparticle but also its motion on S^2. In [21], the full $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$-invariant action was constructed from an extension to N=4 superconformal mechanics in the worldline superfield formalism (analogous to the worldline supersymmetry version). Then one may ask whether it is possible to construct the full $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$-invariant action in spacetime supersymmetry version, which possesses local κ-symmetry and one-dimensional reparametrization invariance.

Due to the above motivations, in this paper we construct super 0-brane and GS superstring actions on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ background by supercoset approach. The action is built out of the Cartan 1-forms L^a, $L^{a'}$ and L^I. For super 0-brane, we find the action with two free parameters A and B (their definition will be given below) where the parameter A can be explained as the electric charge of the super 0-brane, and B corresponds to its magnetic charge. And by comparing with the results in [20, 21], we find that L^a describes the radial motion part of the super 0-brane, and $L^{a'}$ corresponds to its motion on S^2. The obtained super 0-brane action has global $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ super-invariance, and is invariant under local κ-symmetry and one-dimensional reparametrization invariance. The expression for κ-symmetry includes two parameters A and B. In the present construction, the mass of super 0-brane is required to be $m = (A^2 + B^2)^{1/2}$ by κ-symmetry, which means the action, in some sense, describes the BPS saturated dyonic superparticle moving on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ background. Especially, the obtained WZ action contains the r^{-2} term which is expected from the conformal mechanics model [22]. For GS superstring, we find that the string action on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ with 2-form flux takes the same form as those in $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times S^3$ and $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ with RR field background.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In section 2, the structure of superalgebra

$SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ is presented and the corresponding Maurer-Cartan equation for the Cartan 1-forms is given. In section 3, the super 0-brane action on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ is constructed, its κ-symmetry is verified. Some properties of the obtained 0-brane action are discussed. In section 4, GS superstring action is constructed, and its κ-symmetry is analysed. In section 5, we present our summary and discussions.

2. $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ superalgebra and Maurer-Cartan equation for Cartan 1-forms

The algebra of isometry of $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ background is given by $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$, its bosonic subalgebra consists of $SO(1,2) \oplus SO(3)$. The 4-dimensional gamma matrices and charge conjugation matrix can be decomposed into [1]

$$\Gamma^a = \gamma^a \otimes 1, \;\; \Gamma^{a'} = \gamma \otimes \gamma^{a'}, \;\; \mathcal{C} = C \otimes C' \tag{1}$$

where $a = 0, 1$, $a' = 2, 3$, $\gamma = \gamma_0\gamma_1$, and we have chosen the four-dimensional spinors as Majorana ones. Observe that C is antisymmetric, C' is symmetric, so that $\mathcal{C}$ is antisymmetric. The symmetric matrices are $C\gamma^a, C\gamma^{ab}, C', C'\gamma^{a'}$, and the antisymmetric ones are C, $C'\gamma^{a'b'}$ [23]. And we define $\Gamma_5 = \Gamma_0\Gamma_1\Gamma_2\Gamma_3 = \gamma \otimes \gamma'$, with $\gamma' = \gamma^2\gamma^3$. The charge conjugation ψ_c of a spinor ψ is $\psi^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{C} \equiv \bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger\Gamma^0$. The fermionic generators $Q_{\alpha\alpha' I}$ are Majorana spinors with $\alpha = 1, 2$, $\alpha' = 1, 2$, $I = 1, 2$. Then $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ supersymmetry algebra is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_a, P_b] &= J_{ab} \\
[P_{a'}, P_{b'}] &= -J_{a'b'} \\
[P_a, J_{bc}] &= \eta_{ab}P_c - \eta_{ac}P_b \\
[P_{a'}, J_{b'c'}] &= \eta_{a'b'}P_{c'} - \eta_{a'c'}P_{b'} \qquad (2) \\
[J_{ab}, J_{cd}] &= 0 \\
[J_{a'b'}, J_{c'd'}] &= 0 \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

[1] We use the notation and convention in [4].

$$
\begin{aligned}
[Q_{\alpha\alpha' I}, P_a] &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{IJ} Q_{\beta\alpha' J}(\gamma_a\gamma)_{\beta\alpha} \\
[Q_{\alpha\alpha' I}, P_{a'}] &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{IJ} Q_{\alpha\beta' J}(\gamma_{a'})_{\beta'\alpha'} \\
[Q_{\alpha\alpha' I}, J_{ab}] &= -\frac{1}{2} Q_{\beta\alpha' I}(\gamma_{ab})_{\beta\alpha} \\
[Q_{\alpha\alpha' I}, J_{a'b'}] &= -\frac{1}{2} Q_{\alpha\beta' I}(\gamma_{a'b'})_{\beta'\alpha'}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{Q_{\alpha\alpha' I}, Q_{\beta\beta' J}\} &= \delta_{IJ}\Big[2(C\gamma_a)_{\alpha\beta} C_{\alpha'\beta'} P_a + 2(C\gamma)_{\alpha\beta}(C'\gamma^{a'})_{\alpha'\beta'} P_{a'}\Big] \\
&+ \epsilon_{IJ}\Big[-(C\gamma\gamma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} C_{\alpha'\beta'} J_{ab} + (C\gamma)_{\alpha\beta}(C'\gamma^{a'b'})_{\alpha'\beta'} J_{a'b'}\Big] .
\end{aligned} \tag{5}
$$

Since $a = 0, 1, a' = 2, 3$, there are only J_{01}, J_{23} nonzero, we put the right side of Eq.(3) to be zero. Note that both sides of Eq.(5) are symmetric under the exchange of of $(\alpha\alpha' I) \leftrightarrow (\beta\beta' J)$. Here we would like to mention that if we choose the decomposion given by

$$
\Gamma^a = \gamma^a \otimes \gamma', \;\; \Gamma^{a'} = 1 \otimes \gamma^{a'}, \;\; \mathcal{C} = C \otimes C' \tag{6}
$$

instead of (1), the $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ supersymmetry algebra takes slightly different form to the above, but they are equivalent.

In the scaling limit $P_{\hat{a}} \to R P_{\hat{a}}$, $J \to J$ and $Q^I \to \sqrt{R} Q^J$ with $R \to \infty$ (R is the radius of $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$), the $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ superalgebra is reduced to the supersymmetric algebra in flat 4D spacetime.

The left-invariant Cartan 1-forms

$$
L^A = dX^M L^A_M, \;\; X^M = (x, \theta) \tag{7}
$$

satisfy the following Mauer-Cartan equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
dL^a &= -L^b \wedge L^{ba} - L^{\alpha\alpha' I}(C\gamma^a)_{\alpha\beta} C_{\alpha'\beta'} \wedge L^{\beta\beta' I} \\
dL^{a'} &= -L^{b'} \wedge L^{b'a'} - L^{\alpha\alpha' I}(C\gamma)_{\alpha\beta}(C'\gamma^{a'})_{\alpha'\beta'} \wedge L^{\beta\beta' I} \\
dL^{ab} &= -L^a \wedge L^b + \epsilon_{IJ} L^{\alpha\alpha' I}(C\gamma\gamma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} C_{\alpha'\beta'} \wedge L^{\beta\beta' J}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
dL^{a'b'} &= L^{a'} \wedge L^{b'} - \epsilon_{IJ} L^{\alpha\alpha' I} (C\gamma)_{\alpha\beta} (C'\gamma^{a'b'})_{\alpha'\beta'} \wedge L^{\beta\beta' J} \\
dL^{I} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJ}\gamma^{a}\gamma L^{J} \wedge L^{a} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJ}\gamma^{a'} L^{J} \wedge L^{a'} \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}\gamma^{ab} L^{I} \wedge L^{ab} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma^{a'b'} L^{I} \wedge L^{a'b'}
\end{aligned} \tag{8}
$$

From the rescaling of the generators and Eq.(8), the Cartan 1-forms transforms as

$$
L^{a} \to R^{-1} L^{a}, \;\; L^{a'} \to R^{-1} L^{a'} \;\; L^{ab} \to L^{ab}, \;\; L^{a'b'} \to L^{a'b'}, \;\; L^{I} \to R^{-1/2} L^{I} \tag{9}
$$

3. Super 0-brane action on $AdS_2 \times S^2$

The general structure of the super 0-brane action on $\mathrm{Ad}S_2 \times S^2$ background in terms of supercoset formalism can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{0-brane} &= \int\limits_{\partial\mathcal{M}_2} \mathcal{L}_{DBI} + \int\limits_{\mathcal{M}_2} \mathcal{L}_{WZ} \\
\mathcal{L}_{DBI} &= -m\sqrt{-(L_0^a L_0^a + L_0^{a'} L_0^{a'})}
\end{aligned} \tag{10}
$$

where m is the mass of super 0-brane, and

$$
L_0^{\hat{a}} = (\frac{dX^M}{dt}) L_M^{\hat{a}}, \;\; L_0^I = (\frac{dX^M}{dt}) L_M^I \tag{11}
$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{WZ}$ is a closed 2-form, we require that

$$
d\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = 0 \tag{12}
$$

Since under the action of an arbitrary element of the isometry group the vielbeins transform as tangent vectors of the stability subgroup, $\mathcal{L}_{WZ}$ should be invariant of the subgroup $SO(1,1) \otimes SO(2)$ in $\mathrm{Ad}S^2 \times S^2$ case. The only form for $\mathcal{L}_{WZ}$ built out of $L^a, L^{a'}$ and L^I, which can be reduced to flat case in the limit $R \to \infty$, is given by[2]

[2]We can also add other terms to $\mathcal{L}_{WZ}$, but they cannot be reduced properly in flat limit, which we shall discuss below.

$$\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 \tag{13}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_1 &= A\epsilon^{IJ}L^{\alpha\alpha' I}C_{\alpha\beta}C_{\alpha'\beta'} \wedge L^{\beta\beta' J} + A'\epsilon_{ab}L^a \wedge L^b \\
\mathcal{H}_2 &= B\epsilon^{IJ}L^{\alpha\alpha' I}(C\gamma)_{\alpha\beta}(C'\gamma')_{\alpha'\beta'} \wedge L^{\beta\beta' J} + B'\epsilon_{a'b'}L^{a'} \wedge L^{b'}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where we have defined $\epsilon^{01} = -\epsilon_{01} = 1, \epsilon^{23} = \epsilon_{23} = 1$. From the Maurer-Cartan equations, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathcal{H}_1 &= A\bar{L}^I\gamma_a\gamma \wedge L^I \wedge L^a + 2A'\bar{L}^I\gamma_a\gamma \wedge L^I \wedge L^a \\
d\mathcal{H}_2 &= B\bar{L}^I(\gamma \otimes \gamma_{a'}\gamma') \wedge L^I \wedge L^{a'} + 2B'\bar{L}^I(\gamma \otimes \gamma_{a'}\gamma') \wedge L^I \wedge L^{a'}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where we have used $\gamma_a\gamma = \epsilon_{ab}\gamma^b, \gamma_{a'}\gamma' = \epsilon_{a'b'}\gamma^{b'}$.

To demand $d\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = 0$, the only choice is

$$A' = -A/2, \quad B' = -B/2 \tag{16}$$

but no further restriction between A and B, and we have

$$d\mathcal{H}_1 = 0, \quad d\mathcal{H}_2 = 0 \tag{17}$$

Then the super 0-brane action on $\mathrm{Ad}S_2 \times S^2$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{0-brane} &= -m\int dt\sqrt{-(L_0^a L_0^a + L_0^{a'} L_0^{a'})} \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{M}_2} \{A\epsilon_{IJ}\bar{L}^I \wedge L^J + B\epsilon_{IJ}\bar{L}^I\Gamma_5 \wedge L^J \\
&\quad -\frac{A}{2}\epsilon_{ab}L^a \wedge L^b - \frac{B}{2}\epsilon_{a'b'}L^{a'} \wedge L^{b'}\}
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

In (18), there are three parameters m, A and B, where m is the mass of super 0-brane, the relation among m, A and B will be determined by κ-symmetry.

When we define $\delta x^a \equiv \delta X^M L^a_M$, $\delta x^{a'} \equiv \delta X^M L^{a'}_M$, and $\delta\theta^I \equiv \delta X^M L^I_M$, from (8) we have in the variation of $\delta\theta^I$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta L^a &= 2\bar{L}^I\gamma^a\delta\theta^I \\
\delta L^{a'} &= 2\bar{L}^I\gamma\otimes\gamma^{a'}\delta\theta^I \\
\delta L^I &= d\delta\theta^I + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJ}(L^a\gamma^a\gamma - L^{a'}\gamma^{a'})\delta\theta^J \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}(L_{ab}\gamma^{ab} + L_{a'b'}\gamma^{a'b'})\delta\theta^I
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The variation of $\mathcal{L}_{DBI}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{WZ}$ can be got from (19)

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\mathcal{L}_{DBI} &= \frac{2m\bar{L}^I_0 L^{\hat{a}}_0\Gamma^{\hat{a}}\delta\theta^I}{\sqrt{-L^{\hat{a}}_0 L^{\hat{a}}_0}} \\
\delta\mathcal{L}_{WZ} &= -2d\Big[A\epsilon^{IJ}\bar{L}^I\delta\theta^J + B\epsilon^{IJ}\bar{L}^I\Gamma_5\delta\theta^J\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Then the κ-symmetry transformation can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\kappa x^a = 0, \;\; \delta_\kappa x^{a'} = 0, \\
\delta_\kappa\theta^I = [(1+\Gamma)\kappa)]^I
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

with

$$\Gamma = \frac{(A - B\gamma\otimes\gamma')(L^a_0\gamma^a + L^{a'}_0\gamma\otimes\gamma^{a'})}{\sqrt{-(A^2+B^2)(L^a_0 L^a_0 + L^{a'}_0 L^{a'}_0)}}\mathcal{E} \tag{22}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

$$m = \sqrt{A^2+B^2} \tag{24}$$

where the expression for κ-symmetry includes the parameters A and B, and $m = \sqrt{A^2+B^2}$ occurs as a consequence of κ-symmetry of super 0-brane action.

The projection Γ satisfies

$$\Gamma^2 = 1, \;\; tr\Gamma = 0 \tag{25}$$

Then the super 0-brane action on $\mathrm{Ad}S_2 \times S^2$ can be recast into

$$I_{0-brane} = \int dt \mathcal{L}_{DBI} + \int\limits_{\mathcal{M}_2} (\mathcal{L}_{WZ1} + \mathcal{L}_{WZ2}) \tag{26}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{DBI} &= -(A^2 + B^2)^{1/2} \sqrt{-(L_0^a L_0^a + L_0^{a'} L_0^{a'})} \\ \mathcal{L}_{WZ1} &= A\epsilon^{IJ} \bar{L}^I \wedge L^J + B\epsilon^{IJ} \bar{L}^I \Gamma_5 \wedge L^J \\ \mathcal{L}_{WZ2} &= -\frac{A}{2} \epsilon_{ab} L^a \wedge L^b - \frac{B}{2} \epsilon_{a'b'} L^{a'} \wedge L^{b'} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

One can easily check that under the κ-symmetry transformation (21) with (22) - (24), $I_{0-brane}$ is invariant, i.e.,

$$\delta_k I_{DBI} + \delta_k I_{WZ} = 0 \tag{28}$$

Now we have got the super 0-brane action on $\mathrm{Ad}S_2 \times S^2$ which is given by (26) and (27). This action has global $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ invariance, and has k-symmetry as well as one-dimensional reparametrization invariance as its local symmetry.

To see whether the above action can be reduced to the conformal mechanics model in [22] when we switch off the fermionic part, let us consider the particle of mass m moving radially on the background of BR solution in D=4, N=2 supergravity, which is given by [24, 25]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -(\frac{2M}{r})^4 dt^2 + (\frac{2M}{r})^2 dr^2 + M^2 d\Omega_2^2 \\ A_0 &= (\frac{2M}{r})^2 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Since we only consider radial motion, we can put $L_0^{a'} = 0$, which means we switch off the potential induced from the motion around S^2. When we ignore the contribution from the fermionic part, the WZ action is reduced to

$$I_{WZ} \sim \int\limits_{\mathcal{M}_2} A\epsilon_{01} L^0 \wedge L^1 \sim \int\limits_{\mathcal{M}_2} A(\frac{2M}{r})^2 dt \wedge (\frac{2M}{r}) dr \sim \int dt \frac{A}{r^2} \tag{30}$$

which means the WZ action contains r^{-2} term that is very crucial ingredient in the conformal mechanics model [22].

In [20], the potential is induced by

$$V = qA_0 \sim \frac{q}{r^2} \tag{31}$$

where q is the electric charge of the superparticle. By comparing (31) with (30), we find that the parameter A can be interpreted as the electric charge of super 0-brane, and from (24) we can explain the parameter B as the magnetic charge of super 0-brane. Then Eq.(24), which relates the mass and charges, shows that the action describes the dynamics of the BPS saturated dyonic superparticle on $\mathrm{Ad}S_2 \times S^2$ background.

Since the non-trivial background fields in $\mathrm{Ad}S_2 \times S^2$ vacuum are spacetime metric and RR 2-form flux, the bosonic part of $\mathcal{L}_{WZ}$ (built out of L^a, $L^{a'}$ and L^I) describes, indeed, the bosonic couplings of 0-brane to the 2-form flux (that is, the required coupling with A_0 field). The action of the super 0-brane contains also the fermionic terms required to make this coupling supersymmetric and κ-invariant.

From (9), we know under rescaling of R, $\mathcal{L}_{DBI}$, $\mathcal{L}_{WZ1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{WZ2}$ transform as

$$\mathcal{L}_{DBI} \to R^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{DBI}, \;\; \mathcal{L}_{WZ1} \to R^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{WZ1}, \;\; \mathcal{L}_{WZ2} \to R^{-2}\mathcal{L}_{WZ2} \tag{32}$$

which shows $\mathcal{L}_{WZ2}$ can be ignored in large R, then in the flat-space limit $R \to \infty$ the super 0-brane action is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} I^{R\to\infty}_{0-brane} &= \int dt\{-(A^2+B^2)^{1/2}\sqrt{-\left(\dot{x}^{\hat{a}} - i\bar{\theta}^I\Gamma^{\hat{a}}\dot{\theta}^I\right)^2} \\ &\quad + A\epsilon^{IJ}\bar{\theta}^I\dot{\theta}^J + B\epsilon^{IJ}\bar{\theta}^I\Gamma_5\dot{\theta}^J\} \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where $\Gamma^{\hat{a}}$ and Γ_5 are gamma matrices of $SO(1,3)$.

Here we should mention that when we construct WZ action, we could also include such terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 + \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \tag{34}$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \tilde{A}\delta^{IJ}L^{\alpha\alpha' I}(C\gamma)_{\alpha\beta}C_{\alpha'\beta'} \wedge L^{\beta\beta' J} + \tilde{B}s^{IJ}L^{\alpha\alpha' I}C_{\alpha\beta}(C\gamma')_{\alpha'\beta'} \wedge L^{\beta\beta' J} \tag{35}$$

where $s^{IJ} = (1, -1)$. One can easily check

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{\mathcal{H}} &= 0, \\ \delta\tilde{\mathcal{H}} &= -2d[\tilde{A}\delta^{IJ}\bar{L}_0^I(\gamma \otimes 1)\delta\theta^J + \tilde{B}s^{IJ}\bar{L}_0^I(1 \otimes \gamma')\delta\theta^J] \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Under rescaling of R, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \to R^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, so $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ can not be ignored in large R comparing with $\mathcal{L}_{DBI}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{WZ1}$. Since γ, γ' are the combinations of gamma matrices of $SO(1,1)$, $SO(2)$ respectively, in $R \to \infty$ limit $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ cannot be expressed in the gamma matrices of $SO(1,3)$, thus the invariance of super 0-brane action under $SO(1,3)$ cannot be recovered in flat-space limit if $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ was added, so we have to choose $\tilde{A} = \tilde{B} = 0$ in the above construction.

As we know, the Ad$S_2 \times S^2$ configuration can arise as the solution in D=4, N=2 pure supergravity [24], and in extended case coupling with n vector multiplets [25]. If D=4, N=2 supergravity model can be obtained from the type IIB superstring compactified on a Calabi-Yau (CY) threefold (which seem likely in view of the results of [26]), the above super 0-brane action can be interpreted as the wrapping of D3-brane action on a supersymmetric cycle $\mathcal{C}_3$ of the CY manifold [27]. In type IIB superstring theory, D3-brane couples to self-dual RR 5-forms, which means D3-brane has electric and magnetic charges. When D3-brane compactified on supersymmetric cycle $\mathcal{C}_3$, it turns into 0-brane, and contains selfdual and antiselfdual 2-forms in 4 dimensions [27]. Then the obtained super 0-brane action should be interpreted as describing BPS saturated dyonic superparticle moving on Ad$S^2 \times S^2$, and the electric and magnetic charges of super 0-brane in 4 dimensions have their origin in 10 dimensions.

4. GS superstring action on $AdS_2 \times S^2$

Let us consider GS superstring action on Ad$S_2 \times S^2$, which is invariant under the local κ-symmetry transformation given by

$$\delta_\kappa x^a = 0,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_\kappa x^{a'} &= 0, \\
\delta_\kappa \theta^I &= 2(\gamma^a L_i^a + \gamma \otimes \gamma^{a'} L_i^{a'})\kappa^{iI} \\
\delta_\kappa(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}) &= -16\sqrt{g}(P_-^{jk}\bar{L}_k^1\kappa^{i1} + P_+^{jk}\bar{L}_k^2\kappa^{i2})
\end{aligned} \tag{37}
$$

with

$$
P_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(g^{ij} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\epsilon^{ij}), \;\; P_-^{ij}\kappa_j^1 = \kappa^{i1}, \;\; P_+^{ij}\kappa_j^2 = \kappa^{i2} \tag{38}
$$

The GS superstring action on $\mathrm{Ad}S_2 \times S^2$, which has global $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ invariance, should have the form [4] given by

$$
I_{GS} = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}_3} d^2\sigma\sqrt{-g}g^{ij}(L_i^a L_j^a + L_i^{a'} L_j^{a'}) + \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \mathcal{L}_{WZ} \tag{39}
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = ls^{IJ}(L^a \wedge \bar{L}^I\gamma^a \wedge L^J + L^{a'} \wedge \bar{L}^I\gamma \otimes \gamma^{a'} \wedge L^J) \tag{40}
$$

One can check by (8)

$$
d\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = 0 \tag{41}
$$

so $\mathcal{L}_{WZ}$ is a closed 3-form invariant under $SO(1,1) \times SO(2)$. The variation of $\mathcal{L}_{WZ}$ takes the following form, which can be obtained from (19)

$$
\delta\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = 2ls^{IJ}d(L^{\hat{a}} \wedge \bar{L}^I\Gamma^{\hat{a}}\delta\theta^J) \tag{42}
$$

By exploiting (19), (37), (38) and requiring $\delta I_{GS} = 0$, one can determine $l = 1$, and the GS superstring action on $\mathrm{Ad}S_2 \times S^2$ turns into

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{GS} &= -\frac{1}{2}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{g}g^{ij}(L_i^a L_j^a + L_i^{a'} L_j^{a'}) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} s^{IJ}[L^a \wedge \bar{L}^I\gamma^a \wedge L^J + L^{a'} \wedge \bar{L}^I\gamma \otimes \gamma^{a'} \wedge L^J]
\end{aligned} \tag{43}
$$

Since the background which we consider is $\mathrm{Ad}S_2 \times S^2$ with 2-form flux, the constructed WZ term is unique, and GS superstring action in $\mathrm{Ad}S_2 \times S^2$ with 2-form flux takes the same form as those on $\mathrm{Ad}S_5 \times S^5$ and $\mathrm{Ad}S_3 \times S^3$ with RR field.

5. Summary and discussions

In the above, we have constructed super 0-brane and GS superstring actions on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ background with 2-form flux by supercoset approach. For super 0-brane, the action contains two parameters A and B, which can be interpreted as the electric and magnetic charges of the super 0-brane. The obtained super 0-brane action has global $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ super-invariance, and is invariant under local κ-symmetry and one-dimensional reparametrization invariance. The mass of super 0-brane is required to be $m = (A^2 + B^2)^{1/2}$ by κ-symmetry, which indicates that the action describes the BPS saturated dyonic superparticle moving on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ background with 2-form flux. Also the bosonic part of the WZ action contains the bosonic couplings of 0-brane to the 2-form flux background, which is crucial ingredient in the conformal mechanics model [22]. For GS superstring, we find that the string action on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ with 2-form flux takes the same form as those in $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times S^3$ and $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ with RR field background.

By the supercoset approach, we can find the closed form expression for the supervielbein by solving the Maurer-Cartan equation (for $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S^5$, such an expression has been found in [5]). In [14], it was shown that the supersolvable algebra gauge and the Killing spinor gauge for fixing the κ-symmetry of brane actions in $AdS_{p+2} \times S^{D-p-2}$ backgrounds are incompatible with supersymmetric static solutions of worldvolume brane equations of motion, then it is interesting to see how to do gauge-fixing of κ-symmetry properly for super 0-brane action on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$, which would shed light on the similar problems on other complicated backgrounds.

If we consider a restriction on the full dynamics in which the particle is assumed to move within an equatorial plane (this restriction corresponds to a reduction of the superconformal symmetry to the subgroup of $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$: $SU(1,1 \mid 2) \supset SU(1,1 \mid 1) \cong OSp(2 \mid 2)$), it would be interesting to study how to reduce our model to that in [28], from which we could draw some lessons on the quantization of super 0-brane on $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$.

It was argued that the large n-particle $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ superconformal Calogero model would provide a microscopic description of the extremal RN black hole (at least near the

horizon) [29]. Then we expect that the n-particle $SU(1,1 \mid 2)$ superconformal Calogero model will probably give us some hints on the non-abelian generalization of the abelian 0-brane action that we have found. We hope to return those issues in near future.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank E. Bergshoeff, R.R. Metsaev, N. Ohta and Jaemo Park for valuable discussions, and D. Sorokin for helpful comment.

References

[1] J. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200.

[2] S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109.

[3] E. Witten,*Anti de Sitter space and holography* Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.

[4] R.R. Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, *Type IIB superstring action in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background*, Nucl. Phys. **B533** (1998) 109, hep-th/9805028.

[5] R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld and A. Rajaraman, *Near horizon superspace*, JHEP **09** (1998) 002; hep-th/9805217.

[6] R.R. Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, *Supersymmetric D3 brane action in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background*, Phys. Lett. **B436**(1998)281, hep-th/9806095.

[7] R. Kallosh and J. Rahmfeld, *The GS string action on $AdS_5 \times S^5$*, `hep-th/9808038` (1998); R. Kallosh and A. Tseytlin, *Simplifying superstring action on $AdS_5 \times S^5$*, JHEP **10** (1998) 016, hep-th/9808088.

[8] B. de Wit, K. Peeters, J. Plefka and A. Sevrin, *The M-theory two-Brane in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ and $AdS_7 \times S^4$*, Phys. Lett. **B443** (1998) 153, hep-th/9808052; K. Furuuchi, N. Ohta, J.-G. Zhou, *Spacetime superalgebra in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ via supermembrane probe*, hep-th/9902122, to appear in Phys. Rev. **D**.

[9] P. Claus, *Super M-brane actions in $adS_4 \times S^7$ and $adS_7 \times S^4$*, Phys. Rev. **D59**(1999) 066003, hep-th/9809045 (1998).

[10] I. Oda, *Super D-string action on $AdS_5 \times S^5$*, hep-th/9809076.

[11] G. Dall'Agata, D. Fabbri, C. Fraser, P. Fré, P. Termonia and M. Trigiante, *The $Osp(8|4)$ Singleton action from the supermembrane*, hep-th/ 9807115

[12] R. Kallosh, *Superconformal actions on Killing gauge*, hep-th/9807206.

[13] I. Pesando, *All Roads lead to Rome: supersolvables and supercosets*, hep-th/9808146; I. Pesando, *A κ Gauge Fixed Type IIB Superstring Action on $AdS_5 \times S^5$*, hep-th/9808020.

[14] P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, *On gauge-fixed superbrane actions in AdS super-backgrounds*, Phys. Lett. **B445**(1999)251, hep-th/9809213.

[15] A. Rajaraman and M. Rozali, *On the quantization of the GS string on $AdS_5 \times S_5$*, hep-th/9902046.

[16] I. Pesando, *On the Quantization of the GS string type IIB superstring action on $AdS_3 \times S_3$ with NSNS flux*, hep-th/9903086.

[17] I. Pesando, *The GS type IIB superstring action on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$*, hep-th/9809145; J. Rahmfeld and A. Rajaraman, *The GS string action on $AdS_3 \times S^3$ with Ramond-Ramond charge*, hep-th/9809164; J. Park and S. Rey, *Green-Schwarz superstring on $AdS_3 \times S^3$* , hep-th/9812062.

[18] S. Stanciu, *D-branes in an AdS_3 background*, hep-th/9901122

[19] B. Bertotti, Phys. Rev. 116(1959)1331; I. Robinson, Bull. Acad. Pol. 7(1959) 351.

[20] P. Clau, M. Derix, R. Kallosh, J. Kumar, P.K. Townsend and A. Van Proeyen, *Black holes and superconformal mechanics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**(1998)4553, hep-th/9804177.

[21] J.A. de Azcárraga, J.M. Izquierdo, J.C. Pérez Bueno and P.K. Townsend, *Superconformal mechanics, black holes, and non-linear realizations*, Phys. Rev. **D59**(1999)084015, hep-th/9805041.

[22] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini and G. Furlan, *Conformal invariance in quantum mechanics*, Nuovo. Cimento. **34A** (1976) 569.

[23] T. Kugo and P.K. Townsend, *Supersymmery and the division algebras*, Nucl. Phys. **B221**(1983)357.

[24] G.W. Gibbons and C.M. Hull, *A Bogomolny bound for general relativity and solitons in N=2 supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B109**(1982)190; K.P. Tod, *All Metrics Admitting Supercovariantly Constant Spinors*, Phys. Lett. **B121**(1983)241.

[25] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Strominger, *N=2 extremal black holes*, Phys. Rev. **D52**(1995)5412, hep-th/9508072.

[26] R. Kallosh and A. Rajaraman, *Vacua of M-theory and string theory*, Phys. Rev. **D58**(1998)125, hep-th/9805041.

[27] M. Billo, S. Cacciatori, F. Denef, P. Fré, A. Van Proeyen and D. Zanon, *The 0-brane action in a general D=4 supergravity background*, hep-th/9902100.

[28] V.P. Akulov and I.A. Pashnev, *Quantum superconformal model in* $(1, 2)$ *Space*, Theor. Math. Phys.**56**(1983)862; S. Fubini and E. Rabinovici, *Superconformal quantum mechanics*, Nucl. Phys. **B254**(1984)17.

[29] G.W. Gibbons and P.K. Townsend, *Black holes and Calogero models*, hep-th/9812034.